IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KURIAN JOSEPH

THURSDAY, THE 23RD AUGUST 2007 / 1ST BHADRA 1929

WP(C).No. 1346 of 2004(I)

PETITIONER:

SUBAIDA MACHINCHERI,
VENKITTA HOUSE, P.O.MAKKARAPARAMBU,
MALAPPURAM DISTRICT 676 507.

BY ADV. SRI. P.N. MOHANAN

RESPONDENTS:
----

1. **OFFICE OF THE ASSISTANT EDUCATIONAL OFFICER, MANKADA, MALAPPURAM DISTRICT.**
2. **MANAGER A.U.P.SCHOOL, KURUVA MAKKARAPARAMBU P.O.,MALAPPURAM 676 507.**
3. **MUHEMMAD NOUSHAD, TEACHER, A.U.P.SCHOOL, KURUVA MAKKARAPARAMBU P.O., MALAPPURAM 676 507.**
4. **DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF EDUCATION, OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY DIRECTOR EDUCATION, MALAPPURAM.**
5. **GOVERNMENT OF KERALA, REPRESENTED BY SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, GEN.EDN. DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.**

BY ADV. SRI. V.A. MUHAMMED
SRI. K.E. HAMZA
GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI. K.V. MANOJ KUMAR.

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 23/08/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

WP(C).No. 1346/2004(I)

A P P E N D I X

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS:

EXT. P1	**:**	**COPY OF THE CERTIFICATE OF AFZAL-ULAMA EXAMINATION OF CALICUT UNIVERSITY DATED 12.2.1985.**
EXT. P2	**:**	**COPY OF THE CERTIFICATE ISSUED BY THE 2ND RESPONDENT DATED 19.12.1996.**
EXT. P3	**:**	**COPY OF PETITION FILED BY THE PETITIONER DATED 16.7.2002 TO THE 1ST RESPONDENT.**
EXT. P4	**:**	**COPY OF THE JUDGMENT IN OP. NO. 573/03 DATED 9.1.2003.**
EXT. P5	**:**	**COPY OF THE ORDER OF THE FIRST RESPONDENT DATED 3.4.2003.**
EXT. P6	**:**	**COPY OF THE G.O.(Rt) NO. 4593/03/G.Edn DATED 11.11.2000 OF THE 5TH RESPONDENT.**

3RD RESPONDENT'S EXHIBITS:

EXT. R3(a)	**:**	**COPY OF THE G.O. (Rt) NO. 3683/2002/G.EDN. OF THE GOVERNMENT.**
EXT. R3(b)	**:**	**COPY OF THE ORDER OF APPOINTMENT OF THE PETITIONER.**

//True Copy //

P.A. to Judge.

smp

KURIAN JOSEPH, J.

W.P. (C). NO. 1346 OF 2004

Dated this the 23rd day of August, 2007.

J U D G M E N T

Petitioner approached this Court with certain grievances pursuant to a claim under Rule 51A Chapter XIV A of Kerala Education Rules.

2. Learned counsel for the management submits that the petitioner has already been appointed as Arabic Teacher as can be seen from Exhibit R3(b).

Therefore, this writ petition is disposed of making it clear that in case the petitioner has still any grievance left, it will be open to her to approach the 4th respondent, in which case, the matter will be duly considered by the said respondent with notice to all the affected parties.

KURIAN JOSEPH, JUDGE.

smp